

DENIED

BY ORDER OF THE COURT

7/23/10

For the reasons stated in
defendant's opposition, the
Application is Denied.



**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

DOMINIQUE OSBORNE, on her own
behalf and on behalf of a class of
similarly situated persons pursuant to
F.R.C.P. 23 and 23 U.S.C. §216, and on
behalf of the General Public,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

THE PRUDENTIAL INSURANCE
COMPANY OF AMERICA, a New
Jersey Corporation,

Defendant.

Case No. 10-CV-02465-JFC (CWx)

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING
PLAINTIFF'S EX PARTE
APPLICATION FOR ORDER
GRANTING RELIEF FROM
LOCAL RULE 23-3'S NINETY DAY
CLASS CERTIFICATION
DEADLINE OR TO ALLOW
PLAINTIFFS RE-SUBMITTED
MOTION FOR CLASS
CERTIFICATION TO BE DEEMED
FILED *NUNC PRO TUNC***

Judge: Hon. John F. Walter

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

Upon consideration of Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Application For Order Granting Relief From Local Rule 23-3 Or To Allow Plaintiffs Re-Submitted Motion For Class Certification To Be Deemed Filed Nunc Pro Tunc and all papers submitted by the parties in relation thereto, and good cause appearing therefor;

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Application is granted. Accordingly, the Court will consider Plaintiffs' re-submitted Motion for Class

Certification, Issuance of Class Notice and Equitable Tolling to have been filed in compliance with Local Rule 23-3 nunc pro tunc to July 19, 2010.

Dated:

By:

Hon. John F. Walter
United States District Judge for the
Central District of California

Teeple Hall, LLP